

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2004

LR 209

forget grandpa. That's right. (Laugh) So that's enough said. You get my point. I'm in agreement with the amendment. I want to move on with it.

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR COMBS: I want to present it before the voters. I think that's our duty and responsibility to do that. Very respectfully, I know Senator Chambers has much to say about not being in favor of that, but I'm in favor of the other child having a say. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Combs. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I want to come back to my original position about this whole thing. The State Fair is not of sufficient gravity or merit to be put into the constitution. I handed the article around so that you all could see the utter chaos and confusion that is associated with this institution, if that's what you want to call it. Now for the Legislature, for nostalgic reasons, because "Emperor" Radcliffe has been able to make some inroads, or Senator Landis can make you think about cloture and direct your attention away from what we're doing, I want to try to sweep that aside. You all have probably received calls, I probably have received more because I've been fighting this, about the inconsequentialness of the State Fair as opposed to other items that are not going to be funded, that are not going to be guaranteed money. We this session decided not to do anything about a \$150 million judgment against the state. With that looming, the Legislature deciding not to do anything about that is going to say guarantee the State Fair a certain amount of money by amending the constitution. You can amend the constitution but you will not enact a statute. Well, there are people on the floor who will do that, but I'm going to speak against handling the constitution in such a cavalier, frivolous manner. Senator Landis mentioned amendments that I have up there. Some of them were triggered by the language that will be on the ballot if this thing is advanced by my colleagues. The language will say, a constitutional amendment to require the proceeds of the lottery operated and regulated by the state of